

# Boolean Control Network Based Modeling for Context-Aware System in Smart Home

M. Humayun Kabir, M. Robiul Hoque, Hyungyu Seo and Sung-Hyun Yang\*

*Department of Electronic Engineering, Kwangwoon University  
Seoul, Republic of Korea  
shyang@kw.ac.kr*

## Abstract

*Context-awareness is an important characteristic for smart home. Context-aware system reacts and adapts according to the changes in the domain environment. In this paper, we have presented a mathematical modeling used for a context-aware system based on Boolean control network with five nodes for smart home. This Boolean control network describes the relationship between the context elements (user, time, location, activity) and service states (morning call, normal, entertainment, sleeping, guarding). We expressed the dynamics of the state spaces by linear algebraic equation using semi-tensor product of matrices, which is effective to logical inference and control.*

**Keywords:** *Boolean control networks, context-aware system, semi-tensor product (STP) of matrices, smart home*

## 1. Introduction

Researchers in different fields make a lot of contribution for context-aware system. The goal of the context-aware system in smart home is to collect the context information automatically and provides services that maximize the user's comfort, and safety, while minimizing energy consumption. Context-aware systems can be implemented using production rules (if-then relationships), neural networks, support vector machines, fuzzy logic, Bayesian networks, *etc* [1-5]. Boolean network (BN) was introduced by Kauffman to formulate the cellular networks [6]. A BN network consists of a set of Boolean variables whose state is determined by other variables in the network. BN also used for modeling some other complex systems such as neural networks, social and economic networks. The state of each variable of Boolean network can be determined by the state of its spatial neighbors. A BN network with  $n$  variables has  $2^n$  possible states and the dynamics of BN is converted into an equivalent algebraic form as a standard discrete-time linear system using the matrix expression of logic. One possible way to enrich the dynamics of the BN is to consider BN with inputs and outputs called Boolean control network (BCN) [7].

If the context elements and services are considered as inputs and outputs respectively then the context-aware system can be assumed as a Boolean control networks. Smart home is deployed with sensor network to collect user information (user ID, location, and activity) and environmental (temperature, humidity, illumination, and CO<sub>2</sub>) data. Context is formed with this data. Context-aware system offer different types of services to the user based on the available context information as shown in figure 1. The set of service rules are involved for this purpose. Usually these service rules are represented by First Order Predicate Logic (FOPL) statement. These FOPL statements can be converted to Boolean expressions. Our aim is to create a mathematical model that represents the effects of

---

\* Corresponding Author

different control inputs and to make predictions about state of service. Firstly these services rules are converted in to Boolean expressions then using semi-tensor product we can derive linear algebraic equation of these Boolean expression. These linear algebraic equations express state-spaces for smart home. Reasoning is an important terms used in context-aware system. Through reasoning inference can be done to deduce new knowledge form available knowledge. In this paper, we introduced matrix expression of logic with semi-tensor matrix product which is convenient for logical inference.



**Figure 1. Context-Aware Service Environment in Smart Home**

The remaining of the paper is organized as follows: A brief review of related topics for Boolean control network is presented in section 2. Section 3 provides information about state-space description of the system. Section 4 presents mathematical modeling of the state-space followed by mathematical modeling of service space in section 5. In section 6, we illustrate the methods of inference. Finally the conclusion and future work are drawn in section 7.

## 2. Theoretical Background

### 2.1. Boolean Control Network

A Boolean control network (BCN) is a discrete-time logical dynamic control system. Its dynamics can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} x_1(t+1) = f_1(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t), u_1(t), \dots, u_m(t)) \\ \vdots \\ x_n(t+1) = f_n(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t), u_1(t), \dots, u_m(t)) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where,  $f_i : D^{n+m} \rightarrow D = \{T, F\}$ , or  $\{1, 0\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , are  $n+m$ -ary logical functions,  $x_j \in D, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are states,  $u_l \in D, l = 1, 2, \dots, m$  are control inputs.

In [8], authors proposed the concept of semi-tensor product and used it to represent BCNs in a linear algebraic state-space form. A briefly review on some topics are represented, which is useful for studying BCNs in a control-theoretic framework.

## 2.2. Matrix Expression of Logic

A logical variable represents value from a set  $D = \{T, F\}$ , or  $\{1, 0\}$ . For matrix expression we identify truth ‘‘T’’ and false ‘‘F’’, with the vectors

$$T := 1 \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \delta_2^1, F := 0 \sim \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \delta_2^2$$

where,  $\delta_k^i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of the identity matrix  $I_k$  and  $\Delta_k := \{\delta_k^i | i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$

**Definition 1:** A matrix  $L \in M_{n \times m}$  is called a logical matrix if  $\text{Col}(L) \subset \Delta_n$ . The set of  $n \times m$  logical matrices is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_{n \times m}$ . If  $L \in \mathcal{L}_{n \times m}$ , then it has the form  $L = [\delta_n^{i_1} \ \delta_n^{i_2} \ \dots \ \delta_n^{i_m}]$  or in compact form  $L = \delta_n [i_1 \ i_2 \ \dots \ i_m]$ .

## 2.3. Semi-tensor Matrix Product

**Definition 2:** Unlike Kronecker product ( $\otimes$ ), the semi-tensor product ( $\ltimes$ ) is a generalization of the conventional matrix product that allows multiplying two matrices of arbitrary dimensions. The semi-tensor product of two matrices  $A \in M_{m \times n}$  and  $B \in M_{p \times q}$  is

$$A \ltimes B = (A \otimes I_{\alpha/n})(B \otimes I_{\alpha/p}) \tag{2}$$

where  $\alpha$  is equal to least common multiple of  $n$  and  $p$  ( $\text{lcm}(n, p)$ ).

## 2.4. Algebraic Representation of Boolean Functions

A Boolean function can be converted into an algebraic form using the semi-tensor matrix product. Any Boolean function of  $n$  variables  $f: \{F, T\}^n \rightarrow \{F, T\}$  can be equivalently represented as a mapping  $f = \{\delta_2^1, \delta_2^2\}^n \rightarrow \{\delta_2^1, \delta_2^2\}$

**Definition 3:** A  $2 \times 2^r$  matrix  $M_\sigma$  is said to be the structure matrix of the  $r$ -ary logical operator  $\sigma$  if

$$\sigma(p_1, \dots, p_r) = M_\sigma \ltimes p_1 \ltimes \dots \ltimes p_r := M_\sigma \ltimes_{i=1}^r p_i \tag{3}$$

Table 1. Listed Some of the Structure Matrices Used in BCN.

**Table 1. Structure Matrix for Basic Logical Operators**

Logical Operator	Structure Matrix	Logical Operator	Structure Matrix
Negation( $\neg$ )	$MN = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $= \delta_2 [2 \ 1]$	Disjunction( $\vee$ )	$MD = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $= \delta_2 [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2]$

Conjunction( $\wedge$ )	$MC = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $= \delta_2[1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2]$	Conditional( $\rightarrow$ )	$MI = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $= \delta_2[1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1]$
Biconditional( $\leftrightarrow$ )	$ME = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $= \delta_2[1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1]$	Exclusive Or	$MP = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $= \delta_2[2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2]$
Dummy( $\sigma$ d)	$ED = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $= \delta_2[1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2]$	Power reduced	$MR = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ $= \delta_2[1 \ 4]$

**Definition 4:** A swap matrix  $W_{[m,n]}$  is an  $mn \times mn$  matrix, defined as follows. Its rows and columns are labeled by double index  $(i, j)$ , the columns are arranged by the ordered multi-index  $Id(i, j: m, n)$ , and the rows are arranged by the ordered multi-index  $Id(j, i: m, n)$ . The element at position  $[(I, J), (i, j)]$  is then

$$w_{(I,J),(i,j)} = \delta_{i,j}^{I,J} = \begin{cases} 1, & I = i \text{ and } J = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

### 2.5. Procedure to Make Structure Matrix

We can make structure matrix of the function  $\sigma(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r)$  in the following three steps.

**Step 1.** Using the fact that  $pM = (I_2 \otimes M)p$ , all factors of structure matrices  $M_j$  or  $I_2 \otimes M_j$  can be move to the front and move all the variables,  $p_i$ , to the rear of the product.

$$\sigma(p_1, \dots, p_r) = \times_i \xi_i = \times_j N_j \times_k p_{i_k} \quad (5)$$

where  $N_j \in \{I_{2^s} \otimes MN, I_{2^s} \otimes MD, I_{2^s} \otimes MC \mid s = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}, i_k \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$

**Step 2.** Using a swap matrix the order of two logical variables can be changed  $W_{[2]}p_i p_j = p_j p_i$

$$\times_k p_{i_k} = M \times p_1^{k_1} \times p_2^{k_2} \times \dots \times p_r^{k_r} \quad (6)$$

**Step 3.** Using a power-reducing matrix, the power of the  $p_i$ 's can all be reduced to 1. The coefficient matrices, generated by reducing orders, can be moved to the front part.

### 2.6. Algebraic Representation of BCNs

The dynamics of BCNs can be represented by a set of Boolean functions, so a linear algebraic state-space representation can be possible using semi-tensor matrix product.

**Theorem 1:** Consider a BCN with state variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and inputs  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  with  $x_i, u_i \in \{\delta_2^1, \delta_2^2\}$ . Then the dynamics of equation (1) can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{x}(t+1) = L \times \mathbf{u}(t) \times \mathbf{x}(t) \quad (7)$$

where the matrix  $L$  is called the transition matrix of the BCN and  $L \in \mathcal{L}_{2^m \times 2^{n+m}}$ ,  $x(t+1) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n x_i(t+1)$ ,  $u(t) = \bigotimes_{j=1}^m u_j(t)$ ,  $x(t) = \bigotimes_{k=1}^n x_k(t)$

## 2.7. Solution of a Logical Equation

Using the following algorithm, scalar form of the logical unknowns can be easily calculated.

**Algorithm 1 :** Let,  $x = \bigotimes_{j=1}^n p_j = \delta_{2^n}^i$ , where  $p_j \in \Delta$  are in vector form. Then:

The scalar form of  $\{p_j\}$  can be calculated from  $i$  inductively as follows:

Step 1. Set  $q_0 := 2^n - i$

Step 2. Calculated  $p_j$  and  $q_j$ ,  $j=1, 2, \dots, n$ , recursively by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} p_j = \left[ \begin{array}{l} q_{j-1} \\ 2^{n-j} \end{array} \right] \\ q_j = q_{j-1} - p_j \times 2^{n-j}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{array} \right.$$

## 3. State-Space Description of the System

We consider five states for this system: Morning call state, Normal state, Entertainment state, Sleeping state and Guard state. Five different services will be offered by these states. We can define the states by FOPL (First Order Predicate Logic) statement.

### **Morning Call State:**

For all users, if user is available, and the last state is “Morning call”, or “Sleeping”, and time is “Morning”, and user location in “Bedroom”, and user activity is “Lying” then next state is “Morning call”.

$$\forall X, \text{user}(X) \wedge \text{last\_state}(\text{“Morningcall”}) \vee \text{last\_state}(\text{“Sleeping”}) \wedge \text{last\_time}(\text{“Morning”}) \wedge \text{location}(X, \text{“Bed-room”}) \wedge \text{activity}(X, \text{“Lying”}) \rightarrow \text{next\_state}(\text{“Morning call”})$$

### **Normal State:**

For all users, if user is available, and the last state is “Morning call”, or “Normal”, or “Entertainment”, or “Guard”, and user location in inside of house then next state is “Normal”.

$$\forall X, \text{user}(X) \wedge \text{last\_state}(\text{“Morningcall”}) \vee \text{last\_state}(\text{“Normal”}) \vee \text{last\_state}(\text{“Entertainment”}) \vee \text{last\_state}(\text{“Guard”}) \wedge \neg \text{state}(\text{“Sleeping”}) \wedge \neg \text{location}(X, \text{“outside”}) \rightarrow \text{next\_state}(\text{“Normal”})$$

### **Entertainment State:**

For all users, if user is available, and the last state is “Normal”, or “Entertainment”, or not “Sleeping”, and time is “Evening” and user location in “Sofa” then next state is “Entertainment”.

$$\forall X, \text{user}(X) \wedge (\text{last\_state}(\text{“Normal”}) \vee \text{last\_state}(\text{“Entertainment”}) \wedge \neg \text{last\_state}(\text{“Sleeping”})) \wedge \text{time}(\text{“Evening”}) \wedge \text{location}(X, \text{“Sofa”}) \rightarrow \text{next\_state}(\text{“Entertainment”})$$

**Sleeping State:**

For all users, if user is available, and the last state is “Normal” or “Entertainment”, or “Sleeping”, and not “Morning”, and time is “Night”, and person location in “Bedroom” then next state is “Sleeping”.

$$\forall X, \text{user}(X) \wedge \text{last\_state}(\text{“Normal”}) \vee \text{last\_state}(\text{“Entertainment”}) \vee \text{last\_state}(\text{“Sleep”}) \wedge \neg \text{last\_state}(\text{“Morningcall”}) \wedge \text{time}(\text{“Night”}) \wedge \text{location}(X, \text{“Bedroom”}) \wedge \text{activity}(X, \text{“Ly-ing”}) \rightarrow \text{next\_state}(\text{“Sleeping”})$$

**Guard State:**

For all users, if user is available, and the last state is “Normal”, or “Guard”, or not “Morning”, or “Entertainment”, or “Sleeping” and user location in “Outside”, and user activity is “Lying” then next state is “Guard”.

$$\forall X, \text{user}(X) \wedge \text{last\_state}(\text{“Normal”}) \vee \text{last\_state}(\text{“Guard”}) \vee \neg \text{last\_state}(\text{“Morning”}) \vee \text{last\_state}(\text{“Entertainment”}) \vee \text{last\_state}(\text{“Sleep”}) \wedge \text{location}(X, \text{“Outside”}) \wedge \text{activity}(X, \text{“Lying”}) \rightarrow \text{next\_state}(\text{“Guard”})$$

Every home user has tagId, name and relation. We can define home user by, X is a home user if has tagId, name and relation. Home user information is listed in table II.

$$\text{tnr}(Y, X, Z) \rightarrow \text{user}(X).$$

We can illustrate  $\text{tnr}(Y, X, Z)$  by combining three one-argument predicates  $\text{tagId}(Y)$ ,  $\text{name}(X)$ ,  $\text{relation}(Z)$ .

$$\text{tagId}(Y) \wedge \text{name}(X) \wedge \text{relation}(Z) \rightarrow \text{tnr}(Y, X, Z)$$

**Table 2. Home User Information**

<b>tagId(Y)</b>	<b>name(X)</b>	<b>relation(Z)</b>	<b>user(X)</b>
1001	Mr. Hye	Father	Mr. Hye
1002	Mrs. Soo	Mother	Mrs. Soo
1003	Mr. Hyo	Son	Mr. Hyo
1004	Ms. Ha	Daughter	Ms. Ha
1005	Mr. Hyun	Grandfather	Mr. Hyun

We convert FOPL (First Order Predicate Logic) to propositional Logic in two-steps: First, replacing universal quantification by conjunctions, second, defining propositional variables from the predicates [9].

**4. Mathematical Modeling of the State-Space**

We can represent each state as a logical function of context elements and states. These context elements and states are Boolean logical variables with value either true (1) or false (0) at any given time  $t$ . Then at time  $t+1$  the states of this network are updated by context elements and last state values according to some desired logical rules logical equation of smart home context-aware system. We can represent five states by logic variables, such as morning call state =  $x_1$ , normal state =  $x_2$ , entertainment state =  $x_3$ , sleeping state =  $x_4$ , and guarding state =  $x_5$ . For input it uses eight context elements with Boolean logic values true and false. These context elements are categorized as user  $u_1$  (father(p), mother(q), son(r),

daughter(s), grandfather(t)), time (morning(u<sub>2</sub>), evening(u<sub>7</sub>), night(u<sub>5</sub>)), location (bedroom(u<sub>3</sub>), sofa(u<sub>8</sub>), outside(u<sub>6</sub>)) and activity (lying(u<sub>4</sub>)). Each state can be defined by logical relation between the context elements and states.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Morningcall} \quad \text{state}(t+1) &= ((\text{Morningcall} \quad \text{state}(t) \vee \text{Sleeping} \\ \text{state}(t)) \wedge \neg \text{Entertainment} \\ \text{State}(t)) \wedge \text{user}(t) \wedge \text{Time\_morning}(t) \wedge \text{Location\_bedroom}(t) \wedge \text{Activity\_lying}(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Normal} \quad \text{state}(t+1) &= (\text{Morningcall} \quad \text{state}(t) \vee \text{Normal} \quad \text{state}(t) \vee \text{Entertainment} \\ \text{State}(t) \vee \text{Guard} \quad \text{state}(t)) \wedge (\neg \text{Sleep} \quad \text{state}(t)) \wedge \text{user}(t) \wedge (\neg \text{Location\_outside}(t)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Entertainment} \quad \text{state}(t+1) &= (\text{Normal} \quad \text{state}(t) \vee \text{Entertainment} \quad \text{state}(t)) \wedge (\neg \text{Sleeping} \\ \text{state}(t)) \wedge \text{user}(t) \wedge \text{Time\_evening}(t) \wedge \text{Location\_sofa}(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sleeping} \quad \text{state}(t+1) &= ((\text{Normal} \quad \text{state}(t) \vee \text{Entertainment} \quad \text{state}(t) \vee \text{Sleeping} \\ \text{state}(t)) \wedge (\neg \\ \text{Morning} \quad \text{call} \\ \text{state}(t)) \wedge \text{user}(t) \wedge \text{Time\_night}(t) \wedge \text{Location\_bedroom}(t) \wedge \text{Activity\_lying}(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Guarding} \quad \text{state}(t+1) &= ((\text{Normal} \quad \text{state}(t) \vee \text{Guard} \quad \text{state}(t) \vee (\neg (\text{Morning call} \quad \text{state}(t))) \vee \\ \text{Entertainment} \quad \text{state}(t) \vee \text{Sleeping} \quad \text{state}(t)) \wedge (\neg \text{user}(t)) \wedge \text{Location\_outside}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Using logic variables we can express equation (8) as

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t+1) &= ((x_1(t) \vee x_4(t)) \wedge \neg x_3(t)) \wedge u_1(t) \wedge u_2(t) \wedge u_3(t) \wedge u_4(t) \\ x_2(t+1) &= ((x_1(t) \vee x_2(t) \vee x_3(t) \vee x_5(t)) \wedge \neg x_4(t)) \wedge u_1(t) \wedge (\neg u_6(t)) \\ x_3(t+1) &= (x_2(t) \vee x_3(t)) \wedge (\neg x_4(t)) \wedge (u_1(t)) \wedge (u_7(t) \wedge u_8(t)) \\ x_4(t+1) &= ((x_2(t) \vee x_3(t) \vee x_4(t)) \wedge \neg x_1(t)) \wedge u_1(t) \wedge u_5(t) \wedge u_3(t) \wedge u_4(t) \\ x_5(t+1) &= ((x_2(t) \vee x_5(t) \vee (\neg x_1(t)) \vee x_3(t) \vee x_4(t)) \wedge (\neg u_1(t)) \wedge u_6(t) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Figure 2. shows the state-transition diagram of this system. Every state depends on the values of others state. When system is in normal state then next step can be entertainment state or sleeping state or guard state. When system is in guard state then next step will be only normal state. When system is in entertainment state then next state will be normal state or sleeping state. When system is in sleeping state then next step will be morning call. When morning call state is true then next state will be normal state. So every state depends on another state's last value. Every state has fixed number of context information, when the context information satisfied the logic equation then that state is ready to provide specific service. Equation (9) shows the relation between state variables (x<sub>1</sub>,x<sub>2</sub>,x<sub>3</sub>,x<sub>4</sub>,x<sub>5</sub>) and input variables (u<sub>1</sub>,u<sub>2</sub>,u<sub>3</sub>,u<sub>4</sub>,u<sub>5</sub>,u<sub>6</sub>,u<sub>7</sub>,u<sub>8</sub>).





## 5. Mathematical Modeling of Service Space

Each service state will provide separate services when certain logic relations are satisfied. We can define services by First Order Predicate Logic (FOPL) as

state(x)=service(x)→appliance (Name, status)

### Morning Call Service

state(“Morningcall”)=service(“Morningcall”)→appliance(“Alarm”,“on”)AND  
appliance(“Light”,“on”)ANDappliance(“Blind”,“on”)AND  
appliance(“Coffee\_maker”,“on”)ANDappliance(“Water\_heater”,“on”)AND  
appliance(“Aircondition”,“on”) AND appliance(“ TV”,“on”)

### Normal service

state(“Normal”)=service(“Normal”)→appliance(“Alarm”,“off”)AND  
appliance(“Light”,“on”)ANDappliance(“Blind”,“on”)AND  
appliance(“Coffee\_maker”,“off”)ANDappliance(“Water\_heater”,“off”)AND  
appliance(“Aircondition”,“on”)ANDappliance(“ TV”,“off”)

### Entertainment service

state(“Entertainment”)=service(“Entertainment”)→appliance(“Alarm”,“off”)AND  
appliance(“Light”,“on”)ANDappliance(“Blind”,“off”)AND  
appliance(“Coffee\_maker”,“off”)ANDappliance(“Water\_heater”,“off”)AND  
appliance(“Aircondition”,“on”)AND appliance(“TV”,“on”)

### Sleeping service

state(“Sleeping”)=service(“Sleeping”)→appliance(“Alarm”,“off”)AND  
appliance(“Light”,“off”)ANDappliance(“Blind”,“off”)AND  
appliance(“Coffee\_maker”,“off”)ANDappliance(“Water\_heater”,“off”)ANDappliance  
e(“ Aircondition”,“on”)AND appliance(“ TV”,“off”)

### Guard service

state(“Guard”) = service(“Guard”) →∀X appliance(“ X”,“off”)

Similarly, like section 4 we can convert above FOPL to propositional logic. We can represent five output services by logic variables, such as morning call service =  $y_1$ , normal service =  $y_2$ , entertainment service =  $y_3$ , sleeping service=  $y_4$ , guarding service =  $y_5$ . Every service is composed of some controlling facilities to turn on and off of home appliances (coffee\_maker(e), water\_heater(f), airconditioner(g), TV(h)) and devices(alarm(b), light(c), blind(d)). We can describe the service by the output function of home appliances and device.

$$y_1 = b \wedge c \wedge d \wedge e \wedge f \wedge g \wedge h$$

$$= MC \times MC \times MC \times MC \times MC \times MC \times b \times c \times d \times e \times f \times g \times MN \times h$$

$$= MC^6 \times (I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes I_2 \otimes MN) \times b \times c \times d \times e \times f \times g \times h$$

$$y_2 = \neg b \wedge c \wedge d \wedge \neg e \wedge \neg f \wedge g \wedge h$$

$$= MC \times MC \times MC \times MC \times MC \times MC \times MN \times b \times c \times d \times MN \times e \times MN \times f \times g \times MN \times h$$

$$= MC^6 \times MN \times (I_2 \otimes (I_2 \otimes (I_2 \otimes MN \times (I_2 \otimes MN \times (I_2 \otimes (I_2 \otimes MN)))))) \times b \times c \times d \times e \times f \times g \times h$$

$$y_3 = \neg b \wedge c \wedge \neg d \wedge \neg e \wedge \neg f \wedge g \wedge h$$



Entertainment	$\delta_{32}^{29}$	0 0 1 0 0	$\delta_{128}^{93}$	0 1 0 0 0 1 1
Sleeping	$\delta_{32}^{30}$	0 0 0 1 0	$\delta_{128}^{126}$	0 0 0 0 0 1 0
Guard	$\delta_{32}^{31}$	0 0 0 0 1	$\delta_{128}^{129}$	0 0 0 0 0 0 0

## 7. Conclusion

In this paper, we have tried to focus on realization of Boolean control network based context-aware system in smart home. We have defined the logical relation between context information and state variables. Then these logical relations are converted to matrix expression using semi-tensor matrix product. State transition matrix is a matrix expression of sum of product (SOP) representation of logic variables which describes the dynamic of the system. With this matrix expression we can control and do inference easily. Based on the observation result, we can conclude that Boolean control network is realizable for context-aware system in smart home. All the logical variables represented by values 1 or 0 in this system. It can be expressed more efficiently by using k-valued logic. At present we have applied the network with 5 nodes, number of nodes can be extended based on the state of the service. We can use Bayesian networks to make a probabilistic decision about the state changes.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Industrial Strategic Technology Development Program (10041788, Development of Smart Home Service based on Advanced Context-Awareness) funded by the Ministry of Trade, Industry & Energy (MI, Korea). The present research has been conducted by the Research Grant of Kwangwoon University in 2016.

## References

- [1] M.C. Mozer, "The Neural Network House: An Environment that Adapts to its inhabitants", In Proceedings AAAI Spring Symposium on Intelligent Environments, (1998).
- [2] J-Y. Hong, E-H. Suh and S-J. Kim, "Context-aware systems: A literature review and classification", Journal of Expert Systems and Applications, vol. 36, (2009), pp. 8509-8522.
- [3] T. Gu, H. K. Pung and D. Q. Zhang, "A service-oriented middleware for building context-aware services", Journal of Network and Computer Applications, vol. 28, (2005), pp. 1-18.
- [4] H-S. Park and S-B. Cho, "A modular design of Bayesian networks using expert knowledge: Context-aware Home Service robot", Journal of Expert Systems and Applications, vol. 39 (2012), pp. 2629-2642.
- [5] M. H Kabir, M. R. Hoque, H. Seo and S.-H. Yang, "Machine Learning Based Adaptive Context-Aware System for Smart Home Environment", International Journal of Smart Home, vol. 9, no. 11, (2015), pp. 55-62.
- [6] S. A. Kauffman, "The Origins of Order: Self-Organization and Selection in Evolution", New York: Oxford University Press, (1993).
- [7] D. Laschov and M. Margaliot, "A maximum Principle for Single-input Boolean Control Network", IEEE transactions on Automatic Control, vol. 56, no. 4 (2011), pp. 913-917.
- [8] D. Cheng, H. Qi and Z. Li, "Analysis and Control of Boolean Networks: A Semi-tensor Product Approach". Springer, (2011).
- [9] K. Truemper, "Design of Logic-based Intelligent Systems New Jersey: Wiley", (2004), pp. 11-34.
- [10] H. Qi and D. Cheng, "STP Toolbox for Matlab", <http://lsc.amss.ac.cn/~dcheng/stp/STP.zip>