

How Nursing Students Perceive Neurofeedback Training as an Independent Nursing Intervention: Pilot Study Focusing on Attitude

Wanju Park¹, Shinjeong Park², Sangjin Ko³, Kyengjin Kim⁴, and Moonji Choi⁵
College of Nursing, The Research Institute of Nursing Science, Kyungpook National
University, Daegu, Korea
¹wanjupark@knu.ac.kr, ⁵cmoonj90@naver.com

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the practical feasibility of neurofeedback training (NFT) as an independent nursing intervention and to find out predictive factors of participatory practice in NFT. Data were collected from 250 nursing students using self-reports. The questionnaire was based on the Knowledge-Attitude-Practice (KAP) survey model using Delphi methodology with 3-round surveys. The standardized contents of scale were categorized into three domains, seven subgroups, and a total of 57 items. The data were analyzed using a t-test, ANOVA, a Scheffé test, Pearson's correlation coefficients, a regression analysis, and a Sobel test. Students' knowledge level was at 57%, a low score when compared to attitude and practice. Major barriers to NFT were associated with negative attitudes such as fear of side effects or complications, or using a computerized approach. The attitude toward NFT had a complete mediating effect on the relationship between knowledge and practice ($R^2=.43$; $Z=7.44$, $p<.001$). In order for students to be able to apply NFT in the clinical field after graduation, a positive attitude should be cultivated in regular nursing school curriculum. We suggest that NFT can act as a positive nursing intervention if students' positive attitudes are increased. Future research on a variety of types of NFT is needed. It is the condensed version of the full text of this article.

Keywords: Knowledge, Attitude, Practice, Neurofeedback, Nursing

1. Introduction

The quality of medical services is changing, resulting in healthier lives for human beings. Recent rapid advances in technology have led to the introduction of state-of-the-art medical equipment, information technology, and biotechnology into treatment areas, leading to the growth of traditional medical treatment approaches, as well as new approaches to cognitive brain science [1][2]. As such, expectations for nurses' potential to perform their roles have increased, and nurses' independent and professional roles are required. It is time to seriously consider and respond to the growth of nursing technology and the development of interventions in nursing in order to actively respond to the anachronistic conditions and demands [2].

A Nurse Practitioner course of South Korea was developed in 2000, which is being conducted since then for educating professional staff. However, there is still a lack of independent nursing practice among professional nurses [3]. Therefore, it is necessary to

Article history:

Received (November 15, 2017), Review Result (December 23, 2017), Accepted (February 14, 2018)

develop nursing curriculum and intervention through positive attitudes and awareness improvement of brain science intervention for symptom relief and maximal treatment of the subject.

Neurofeedback training is a type of bio-feedback arbitration method that optimizes brain function (peak performance) by reconfiguring the brain's networking by itself by altering brain waves [4]. Meta-analysis of neurofeedback training to improve the brain's self-regulating ability through repetitive training shows that this training resulted in stress, depression, anxiety, and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) effects, with a medium size effect. Among these, ADHD is known to cause people to be extremely high in carelessness, memory, hyperactivity, and emotion [5].

Neurofeedback training interventions from licensed nurses have become available for outpatients, inpatients, communities, and homes since the 1990s [6]. As healthcare providers, nurses who have a good understanding of the human body and psychiatry will be able to effectively put it to use. The basic value of constant nursing interventions, even with changes in age, is based on mind-body medicine, which in turn is based on psychological support and care for the safety, disease, prevention, symptom control, and relaxation of human beings [7]. In order to develop new nursing interventions for the function of the brain, which is the body part of "mind and body" as described in mind and body medicine, it is necessary to understand the level and effect of attitudes in the relationship between knowledge and practical feasibility of nursing college students.

1.1. Objectives

The aim of this study is to identify and correlate knowledge, attitudes, and practice levels of interpretation of neurofeedback training for college students based on the KAP model, and to understand the relationship between knowledge and practice. The specific objectives are: First, to identify the extent to which the subject's knowledge, attitudes, and practice of interventions in the feedback training is conducted; second, to analyze the correlation between the subject's knowledge, attitudes, and practice of mediating in neuro-feedback training; and third, to understand the effectiveness of the practice's attitude as a parameter as it is validated in the relationship between the subject's knowledge of the neuro-feedback training arbitration and its practice.

2. Methods

2.1. Research design and Participants

This study intends to identify and correlate the level and effectiveness of neurofeedback intercession, neurological therapy method, and neurography training, and the verify the relationship between knowledge and performance. The study was conducted on undergraduates with six months or more of clinical hands-on experience at the University of Nursing in D City. Using the G*power 3.1.9.2 program, with an effect size of .15, significance level of 0.05, and statistical power of 0.95, the total sample size for adequate power was estimated at 172 participants. The minimum number of samples required was 172, based on eight independent variables and 10 research variables. Thus, a total of 250 questionnaires were distributed to account for the rate of omission. Among them, a total of 233 questionnaires, excluding 17 that had insincere or incomplete responses, were included in the final analysis.

2.2. Research tools

Because there was no tool for measuring the knowledge, attitude, and practical feasibility of intervention in neurofeedback training, we constructed the components of the tool based on the KAP model [8] and Roelens' s sub-domain framework [9]. Then, a preliminary question for each area was developed using the Delphi survey with an expert panel. The 3-step structured Delphi technique for quantification of expert opinions and structural decision making. The period was from October 25, 2016 to November 25, 2016. A total of five experts participated in the focus group interview. Among them, one professor of nursing (Certificate: No. E5869) and one doctoral student (Certificate: No. E6122) had obtained a Neurofeedback certification from Biofeedback Certification International Alliance (BCIA).

As a result, the knowledge domain consisted of 9 items of basic knowledge and 14 items of intervention knowledge. The attitude domain consisted of 4 items of preference and agreement, 6 items of motivation, 4 items of perceived self-efficacy, and 6 items of expected outcome. The attitude domain consisted of 14 items of feasibility. The Delphi survey was conducted over three times in order to develop the constituent items of sub-domain attributes these are reflect of knowledge, attitude, and practical feasibility. Through the third Delphi survey, items with priorities and relevance and a Content Validity Index (CVI) of over 80% were selected. A 5-point Likert scale, with 1 score for “definitely not sure” to 5 score for “definitely sure” shows that the higher the score, the higher the knowledge, attitude, and practical feasibility of the neurofeedback training intervention. The internal reliability of the developed knowledge tool was .79, the internal reliability of the developed attitude tool was .87, and the internal reliability of the developed practical feasibility tool was .77.

2.3. Data collection

Data collection was conducted after the approval of the K University Institutional Review Board (No. KNU-2016-0122) to verify ethical and scientific feasibility and safety and protection of human rights. Nursing students completed the questionnaire from November 28 to December 23, 2016. Nursing students were fully explained the purpose and method of the study. And then students who voluntarily agreed were asked to read the one piece of paper about neurofeedback training, the area of use, the training course, and an introduction to the exercise. Next, participants were asked to answer questions about knowledge, attitude, and practical feasibility of neurofeedback. Participants were able to voluntarily suspend their participation at any time. The questionnaire was collected by the researcher, and a gift was given to all participants.

2.4. Data analysis

The collected data were analyzed using the SPSS 23.0 program. The general characteristics of the subjects and the knowledge, attitude, and likelihood of neurofeedback training intervention were assessed using frequency, percentage, mean, and standard deviation. The difference in knowledge, attitude, and practical feasibility of neurofeedback training intervention according to general characteristics was verified using a t-test and a one way ANOVA. Post hoc tests were analyzed by a Scheffé test. Pearson's correlation coefficients were used to determine the correlation between knowledge, attitude, and practical feasibility. In order to test the mediating effects of attitudes on the participants' knowledge and practical feasibility, a 3-step multiple regression analysis was performed according to the procedure presented by Baron and Kenny [10]. The statistical significance of the mediator effect was verified by the Sobel test.

3. Results

3.1. General characteristics of participants

The general characteristics of the participants are as follows: 93.6% were female students, and the rest were male students; 53.6% were seniors and 46.4% juniors, all of whom had clinical experience; and 47.6% had less than 1 year of training, 34.3% less than 2 years, and 18.0% more than 2 years. The practicum was evaluated by the participants: 55.8% graded it in the middle, 39.1% as high, and 5.2% as low. A total of 69.1% rated their satisfaction as being in the middle, 21.5% high, and 9.4% low. In the Satisfaction of the major was 58.6% in the middle, 34.3% in the high, and 6.9% in the low.

3.2. The level of knowledge, attitudes, and practical feasibility

The total average score of knowledge on the neurofeedback training intervention was 3.57 out of 5 points. The basic knowledge sub-domain score was 3.82 points, and the intervention knowledge was 3.41 points. The total average score of attitude on the neurofeedback training intervention was 3.71 out of 5 points. The score for agreement and preference of the sub-domain was 3.80, that for perceived self-efficacy was 3.79, that for expected outcome was 3.77, and that for motivation was the lowest at 3.55. The total average score of practical feasibility on the neurofeedback training intervention was 3.03 out of 5 points.

3.3. Relationship between knowledge, attitude, and practical feasibility

There was a significant positive correlation between knowledge, attitude, and practical feasibility of the neurofeedback training intervention. Among them, the highest positive correlation was between attitude and practical feasibility ($r=.66$, $p<.001$), followed by knowledge and attitude ($r=.65$, $p<.001$) and knowledge and practical feasibility ($r=.49$, $p<.001$).

3.4. Mediating effect of attitude

In the regression analysis test, the Durbin-Waston index was independent of autocorrelation without convergence from 1.73 to 2.05, and the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) was 1.00-1.91, which was less than 10, indicating that there was no multi-collinearity between variables. The fit of the regression model satisfied both the normality of the residuals (Kolmogorov-Smirnov's $p>.10$) and the equilibrium assumption (Breush-Pagan's $p>.05$).

In order to test the mediating effect of attitude on the relationship between the knowledge of neurofeedback training intervention and practical feasibility, the 3-step verification procedure from Baron and Kenny [10] was performed. In the first step of verifying the model, the effect of knowledge on attitudes was significant, and the attitude increased as knowledge increased ($\beta=.65$, $p<.001$). As a result of a multiple regression analysis of the effects of knowledge on practical feasibility in the second stage, the influence of knowledge on practical feasibility was shown to be significant; the higher the knowledge, the higher the likelihood of practical feasibility ($\beta=.49$, $p<.001$). In the third stage, regression analysis verified the effect of knowledge and attitude on practical feasibility; attitude was completely mediated by the non-standardized regression coefficient of knowledge, which decreased from 0.55 to 0.53 and was not significant ($\beta=.10$, $p=.130$). In order to test the significance of the mediating effects of attitudes, the Sobel test was conducted, and it was found that attitude was

a significant complete mediator in the relationship between knowledge and practical feasibility ($Z=7.44, p<.001$).

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to identify the obstacles and facilitators of neurofeedback training as an independent nursing intervention by analyzing the knowledge, attitude, practical feasibility of neurofeedback training in nursing college students. Furthermore, this study was conducted to provide basic data on the development of a new nursing intervention protocol and improvement direction of nursing education.

The knowledge of nursing college students about neurofeedback training was 57%, or 3.57 out of 5 points. The basic knowledge of the brain among the sub-items of knowledge was higher than the knowledge of intervention. Knowledge about the basic functions of the brain was high, but scores on the questions of the self-regulation potential of the brain were low. It is thought that existing nursing education is based on the brain structure and the function of each area, so students do not get accurate information about the neurofeedback mediation method of this relatively unfamiliar neurosurgery approach, and do not receive the related education.

The attitude toward neurofeedback training returned a score of 3.71, indicating that attitude was higher than knowledge. Perceived self-efficacy, agreement, and preference were the highest among the sub-domains, but expected outcomes and motivation were low. In a previous study, the higher the self-efficacy, the higher the tendency to actively perform a task without avoiding it [11]. If systematic education is given to nursing college students who have high self-efficacy for neurofeedback training, it can be used strategically as a nursing intervention. According to Bandura's social cognitive theory [12], cognitive factors such as motivation, expectation, and beliefs affect performance; proper nursing education should be given priority so as to have positive expectations and motivation.

The mean practical feasibility score of neurofeedback training was 3.03 points. The facilitating factor of performance is possible for children with ADHD, using non-invasive methods, and for neurofeedback training for a variety of diseases; it would be better if the participants could get certified. Nursing students are expected to be able to perform nursing interventions based on scientific principles, but it is difficult to approach them because of the possibility of side effects, complications, and lack of experience in intensive education or practice

After checking the mediating effect of attitude on the knowledge of neurofeedback training and its practical feasibility, it was found that knowledge influences practical feasibility when mediated by attitude as a complete mediator. In addition, since practical feasibility is higher when the attitude is mediated, education that promotes positive attitudes.

5. Conclusion

This study has been conducted at a time when brain science approaches to nursing intervention have rarely been performed. The knowledge, attitude, and practical feasibility level of neurofeedback training intervention in nursing college students were investigated based on the KAP model. This study will be used as basic data for the development of a training course and protocol for neurofeedback training intervention. The theoretical knowledge based on brain science of nursing students was shown to still be insufficient, and the attitude between knowledge and performance possibility of nursing college students had a complete mediation effect. Therefore, it is necessary to cultivate attitudes to continuously pursue various complicated nursing situations, and it is necessary to repeat this type of study.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (No. NRF-2015R1D1A1A01059205) and supported by Kyungpook National University Bokhyeon Research Fund, 2015.

References

- [1] G.J. Siegle, F. Ghinassi, and M.E. Thase, "Neurobehavioral therapies in the 21st century: summary of an emerging field and an extended example of cognitive control training for depression," *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, vol.31, pp.235-262, (2007) DOI:10.1007/s10608-006-9118-6
- [2] J.A. Oh, S.J. Kim, K.A. Kang, S.H. Kim, H. Roh, and J.C.D. Gagné, "Brain-based teaching strategies for nurse educators: An integrative review," *The Journal of Korean Academic Society of Nursing Education*, vol.20, pp.617, (2014) DOI:10.5977/jkasne.2014.20.4.617
- [3] I.G. Kwon, Y.H. Kim, K.J. Hwang, H.S. Kim, B.S. Lee, H.S. Lee, and W.J. Choi, "A survey on the role and present state of advanced practice nurses in Korea," *Clinical Nursing Research*, vol.9, pp.55, (2003)
- [4] J. Bagdasaryan and M. Le Van Quyen, "Experiencing your brain: neurofeedback as a new bridge between neuroscience and phenomenology," *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, vol.7, no.1, (2013)
- [5] M.J. Cheong, H.I. Jo, and E.Y. Chae, "Meta analysis on the effects of neurofeedback training programme," *Journal of the Korea Academia-Industrial cooperation Society*, vol.17, pp.582, (2016) DOI:10.5762/KAIS.2016.17.12.582
- [6] D.A. Hoffmann, J.F. Lubar, R.W. Thatcher, M.B. Sterman, P.J. Rosenfeld, and S. Striefel, "Limitations of the American academy of neurology and American clinical neurophysiology society paper on QEEG," *Journal of Neuropsychiatry & Clinical Neuroscience*, vol.11, pp.401, (1999)
- [7] S.J. Kim, "Mind-body integrative approaches in nursing," *Korean Journal of Stress Research*, vol.16, pp.287 (2008)
- [8] J. Coreil, "in Health behavior in developing countries of Handbook of health behavior Research III," Edited D. S. Gochman, Plenum Press, New York, pp.1-456, (1997)
- [9] K. Roelens, H. Verstraelen, K. Van Egmond, and M. Temmerman, "A knowledge, attitudes, and practice survey among obstetrician-gynaecologists on intimate partner violence in Flanders," *BMC Public Health*, vol.6, pp.238, (2006) DOI:10.1186/1471-2458-6-238
- [10] R. M. Baron and D.A. Kenny, "The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations," *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, vol.51, pp.1173, (1986)
- [11] Y. Kim and Y. Kim, "The influence of academic self-efficacy, and cortical thinkin disposition on problem solving ability of nursing students," *Journal of the Korea Academia-Industrial cooperation Society*, vol.17, pp.589, (2016)
- [12] A. Bandura, "Perceived self-efficacy in cognitive development and functioning," *Educational Psychologist*, vol.28, pp.117, (1993) DOI:10.1207/s15326985ep2802_3